

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,645	11/12/2003	Charles R. Rapier	1856-42801 (40183)	7027
31889 03/04/2009 ConocoPhillips Company - IP Services Group Attention: DOCKETING			EXAMINER	
			WARTALOWICZ, PAUL A	
600 N. Dairy Ashford Bldg. MA-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77079			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte CHARLES R. RAPIER, SHUIBO XIE, BAILI HU, BEATRICE C. ORTEGO, DAVID E. SIMON and DAVID M. MINAHAN

> Application No. 10/706,645 Technology Center 1700

Mailed: March 4, 2009

Before CAROLYN L. JOHNSON, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist. JOHNSON, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist..

### ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 18, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

#### EXAMINER'S ANSWER, GROUNDS OF REJECTION

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer mailed December 27, 2007 under the heading "Grounds of rejection" is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims set forth in the last Office action of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified and any new grounds of rejection must be provided under a separate heading "New Grounds of Rejection" in the Examiner's Answer and must include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1207.02 and 1207.03 (8<sup>th</sup> ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

A review of the Examiner's Answer finds a new Ground(s) of Rejection that has not been provided the required heading "New Grounds of Rejection" and/or which does not include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee.

Specifically, the Examiner's Answer sets forth a rejection of claim 40 as being rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite, whereas the last Office action, including the Advisory Action mailed on February 27, 2007, finds that the rejection of claim 40 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 had been overcome, based on Appellants' Amendment filed on January 29, 2007.

Correction of all Grounds of rejection for all claims is required.

## CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application be returned to the Examiner:

- (1) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed December 27, 2007;
- (2) to generate a new Examiner's Answer setting forth the correct Grounds of rejection and to correct other sections of the Answer as may be required;
- (3) and to include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee (as required for any new grounds of rejection); and
  - (4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

CLJ

CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY - IP SERVICES GROUP ATTENTION: DOCKETING 600 N. DAIRY ASHFORD BLDG. MA-1135 HOUSTON, TX 77079